ORIGINAL



1

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 COMMISSIONERS
3 CARY DIED CE - CI

Arizona Corporation Commission

2 2011

GARY PIERCE - Chairm OCKETED
BOB STUMP

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

PAUL NEWMAN BRENDA BURNS

S DOCKETED BY

AUG

2011 AUG -2 P 1:46

AZ CORP COMMISSION MOCKET CONTROL

6

5

4

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TUSAYAN WATER DEVELOPMENT

ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF RATES FOR WATER SERVICE.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ANASAZI WATER CO., LLC FOR

10 ADJUDICATION "NOT A PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION."

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF HYDRO-RESOURCES, INC. FOR

12 ADJUDICATION "NOT A PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION."

DOCKET NO. W-02350A-10-0163

DOCKET NO. W-20765A-10-0432

DOCKET NO. W-20770A-10-0473

PROCEDURAL ORDER

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

11

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 29, 2010, Tusayan Water Development Association, Inc. ("Tusayan") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. W-02350A-10-0163 ("Tusayan Docket"), a rate application using a test year ending December 31, 2009.

Since that time, through a series of events more fully described in the Procedural Order issued in this matter on April 20, 2011, processing of Tusayan's rate application has been suspended; Tusayan has been deemed to have filed an adjudication application; Tusayan's adjudication application has been consolidated with adjudication applications filed by Hydro-Resources, Inc. ("Hydro") and Anasazi Water Company, LLC ("Anasazi"); intervention has been granted to Tusayan Ventures LLC ("T Ventures") and to the Town of Tusayan ("Town"); the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") has found all three adjudication applications to be sufficient; and a procedural schedule has been established that includes a hearing to commence on September 9, 2011, and continue on September 21, 2011, along with other procedural requirements and deadlines.

27

28

A Staff request to have the hearing commence on September 21, 2011, filed on April 20, 2011, was deemed denied after 20 days.

On June 8, 2011, Hydro filed Certification of Mailing and Publication of Notice, showing that notice had been published in the *Williams-Grand Canyon News* on four consecutive weeks from April 13, 2011, through May 4, 2011, and stating that Hydro had mailed a copy of the notice to all affected property owners on May 18, 2011.

On June 20, 2011, Hydro filed the Direct Testimony of John W. Rueter, and Anasazi filed the Direct Testimony of Pamela Fain. On the same date, Tusayan provided a courtesy copy of the Direct Testimony of Christopher Brainard, which was subsequently docketed on June 22, 2011, along with a Motion for Extension of Time to File Direct Testimony explaining that Tusayan's original filing with Docket Control had been rejected, unbeknownst to Tusayan until two days later, because Tusayan had not provided sufficient copies with the filing.²

On July 19, 2011, Staff filed Staff's Request for Extension of Time ("Staff's Request"), requesting that Staff's deadline to file its Direct Testimony be extended from July 20, 2011, to July 27, 2011, and explaining that Staff had contacted all of the parties regarding the request and that none of the parties had any objection. Staff further requested that all Intervenors likewise be granted a one-week extension to file Direct Testimony.

On July 20, 2011, T Ventures filed a Notice stating that it will not be filing any Direct Testimony or offering any witnesses in this consolidated matter, but will participate only through cross-examination of other parties' witnesses. T Ventures further stated that it supports Staff's Request.

On July 21, 2011, a Procedural Order was issued extending to July 27, 2011, the deadline for Staff and Intervenors to file direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing and declaring that the remaining provisions of the Procedural Order of April 20, 2011, remain unchanged.

On July 27, 2011, the Town filed the Direct Testimony of Ray L. Jones, and Staff filed the Direct Testimony of Kiana M. Sears and Marlin Scott, Jr.

On August 1, 2011, Squire Motor Inns, Incorporated ("Squire") filed an Application to Intervene and Conditional Motion for Extension of Time ("Motion"). In its Motion, Squire explains

In light of the reason for and nature of the delay in timely filing Direct Testimony, no Motion for Extension was necessary.

that it will be directly and substantially affected by these proceedings and should be granted intervention because these proceedings will significantly impact the future of water service in the 2 Town, Squire is an essential source of water in the Town, and Squire has an agreement to sell water 3 to Hydro. Squire asserts that its well, mains, and storage tank are essential to Hydro's ability to 4 provide service and that it is a critical party in this matter. Squire further asserts that if it is granted intervention, the Commission should continue the hearing in this case to allow Squire adequate time 6 to prepare, although Squire suggests that the September 9, 2011, hearing date be retained to allow the 7 8 parties to engage in a settlement conference. Although the deadlines for Motions to Intervene and for Intervenors' direct testimony and 9 exhibits have passed, it appears that Squire's participation in this matter may be beneficial to the 10 Commission. Thus, it is now necessary and appropriate to obtain each party's position on Squire's 11 Motion, including both its request for intervention and its request for a continuance. 12 13

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that each party shall, by August 12, 2011, file a response to Squire's Motion, including the party's position both as to Squire's request for intervention and Squire's request for a continuance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DATED this 2nd day of August, 2011.

20

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

27

Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this and day of August, 2011, to:

26 Russell A. Kolsrud

Ryan J. Lorenz

CLARK HILL PLC

14850 North Scottsdale Road, Suite 500 Scottsdale, AZ 85254

28

Attorneys for Tusayan Water Development Association, Inc.

| 1 | Garry D. Hays |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE LAW OFFICES OF GARRY D. HAYS, PC 1702 East Highland Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, AZ 85016 |
| 3 | Attorney for Tusayan Ventures LLC |
| 4 | Paul L. Brinkmann SHORALL MCGOLDRICK BRINKMANN |
| 5 | 702 North Beaver Street Flagstaff, AZ 86001 |
| 6 | Attorney for Anasazi Water Co., LLC |
| 7 | Steven A. Hirsch Rodney W. Ott BRYAN CAVE LLP |
| 8 | Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406 |
| 9 | Attorneys for Hydro-Resources, Inc. |
| 10 | William J. Sims III LASOTA & PETERS, PLC |
| 11 | 722 East Osborn Road, Suite 100 Phoenix, AZ 85014 |
| 12 | Attorney for the Town of Tusayan |
| 13 | Cynthia Seelhammer, Interim Town Manager TOWN OF TUSAYAN P.O. Box 709 |
| 14 | Tusayan, AZ 86023 |
| 15 | Michael W. Patten Timothy J. Sabo |
| 16 | ROSHKA, DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC One Arizona Center |
| 17 | 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004 |
| 18 | Attorneys for Squire Motor Inns, Incorporated |
| 19 | Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION |
| 20 | 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 21 | Steven M. Olea, Director |
| 22 | Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION |
| 23 | 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 |
| 24 | By: Angeles |
| 25 | Debra Broyles Secretary to Sarah N. Harpring |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

28